

Re: FCC Item #17-108

I, Muriel Strand, support Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

Loss of internet neutrality can be expected to affect rural and remote users the most, including many Trump supporters.

Since the whole nation has become accustomed to management of the internet as a telecommunications service rather than as an information service, major grassroots disruptions and discontent can be expected to ensue if this proposal is enacted.

Cost-benefit analyses that fail to demonstrate increased benefits and decreased costs **to consumers** must be construed as supporting retention of net neutrality.

Preservation of net neutrality is consistent with the public-utility policies that informed the building of the U.S. telephone network about a century ago. As you may know, this approach to construction and management of the original telephone network played a key role in U.S. economic development and prosperity and continues to provide a key foundation for U.S. businesses en masse.

This democratic precedent should continue to hold.